

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO.9318 OF 2004

Shri Ramdas Gangadhar Hake, .. Petitioner

Vs

1.The State of Maharashtra & ors .. Respondents

Mr Ambar Joshi, for the petitioner.

Mr D.A.patil, AGP for respondent nos 1 to 4.

Mr Suresh M. Sabrad, for respondent no.6.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE, J.

DATE : 14th December, 2004.

PC:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties. This petition is directed against the concurrent findings recorded by the authorities below rejecting the application and thereafter the appeal filed by the petitioner challenging the no confidence motion passed against him. The petitioner was a Sarpanch against whom a no confidence motion has been passed by the members of the Gram Panchayat. The only contention urged by Mr Joshi, learned counsel for the petitioner, is that the resolution was not discussed in the meeting. From perusal of the orders, it is clear that the resolution

was discussed in the meeting. Considering that there are concurrent findings recorded by both the authorities below and as I find that there is sufficient material on record to sustain those findings and considering the extremely limited jurisdiction of this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to disturb the findings of fact, the present writ petition cannot be entertained. The writ petition, therefore, fails and is dismissed as such.

(D.B.Bhosale, J.)